

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CENTRAL DIVISION**

MATTHEW AND JONNA AUDINO,)
individually and on behalf of all others)
similarly situated,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

Case No. _____

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.)

Serve: Paul Pate)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Iowa Secretary of State)

First Floor, Lucas Building)

321 E. 12th Street)

Des Moines, Iowa 50319,)

Defendant.)

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Matthew and Jonna Audino (“Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and all persons similarly situated, for their claims against defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“Chase”), allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This case involves Chase’s unlawful practice of collecting “post-payment” interest on loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (“FHA”) without first complying with the uniform provisions of the promissory notes and the FHA regulations governing these loans.

2. FHA regulations prohibit lenders from collecting interest from a borrower after the borrower has paid off the full amount of the unpaid principal of the loan (i.e., the lender charges interest through the end of the month even when the loan is repaid in full before the end of the month) unless two strict conditions are met: (a) the borrower pays the full unpaid principal on a day “other than an installment due date” and (b) the lender provides certain disclosures to the borrower with “a form approved by the Commissioner [of the FHA].” 24 C.F.R. § 203.558(b) (2014).

3. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) requires the use of its approved form because the form meets the objectives of HUD in disclosing to borrowers that the lender is seeking to collect post-payment interest, the terms under which the lender can collect post-payment interest, and how a borrower can avoid post-payment interest charges (the “Disclosures”). *See* HUD Housing Handbook, Administration of Insured Home Mortgages, 4330.1 REV-5 Appendix 8(C).

4. Despite the provisions of the promissory notes and the HUD regulations prohibiting lenders from collecting post-payment interest unless borrowers are provided the Disclosures in an FHA-approved form, Chase does not use the FHA-approved form. Instead, Chase uses its own form, which has not been approved by HUD, and fails to provide any disclosures at all to the borrower that post-payment interest is being collected or that such pre-payment interest can be avoided by paying on the first of the month.

5. Because Chase does not provide any of the Disclosures, and does not use the FHA-approved form as required by the promissory note and the HUD regulations, Chase cannot collect post-payment interest from borrowers.

6. Nevertheless, Chase systematically collected post-payment interest from Plaintiffs and the Class in breach of their contracts and in violation of HUD regulations.

7. Plaintiffs and all Class Members, as defined below, are entitled to damages for the injuries they have suffered because of Chase's unlawful conduct, and Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all Class Members for breach of contract.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiffs Matthew and Jonna Audino are natural persons and citizens of Iowa residing in Melcher-Dallas, Iowa in Marion County.

9. Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York, New York. Chase held FHA-insured loans for Plaintiffs and other Class Members that have since been paid off in full. Chase is a citizen of New York. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1348.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because (a) the class has more than 100 members; (b) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs; and (c) Plaintiff and some putative class members are citizens of a different state from Chase.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Chase. Among other things, the Plaintiffs' contract was executed in Iowa, Chase conducts business in Iowa, holds mortgages on real property in Iowa, has breached contracts with people located in Iowa, has caused injuries in Iowa, and generally engages in substantial activity in Iowa.

12. This Court is a proper venue for this action. Chase is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Southern District of Iowa, Central Division, which "[f]or purposes of venue," means that Chase resides in this judicial district. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (c).

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated persons, seek to recover monetary damages resulting from Chase's wrongful conduct in collecting post-payment interest from its customers in breach of its promissory notes with its customers and HUD regulations.

The FHA Supplies Mortgage Insurance to Lenders

14. HUD is a department within the executive branch of the United States government. When HUD was created, Congress re-organized the FHA as an agency within HUD.

15. One of the FHA's roles is to provide mortgage insurance to FHA-approved lenders for loans on single-family homes. The FHA is the largest insurer of mortgages in the world.

16. Mortgage insurance protects lenders against borrower defaults. Lenders bear less risk on FHA-insured loans because the FHA will pay lenders in the event of borrower default. To receive FHA mortgage insurance, borrowers pay an upfront mortgage insurance premium and make monthly premium payments.

17. Lenders must be pre-approved to qualify to receive FHA mortgage insurance. Lenders must also comply with HUD regulations contained in Title 24, Subtitle B, Chapter II, Subpart B, Part 203 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Among other things, HUD regulations require that, for any FHA-insured loan, the lender must include certain uniform provisions in every promissory note. Therefore, each of the approximately 4.8 million FHA-insured loans¹ is documented by a promissory note containing those uniform provisions.

**The FHA Prohibits Charges of Post-Payment Interest
Unless Lenders First Provide Disclosures in an FHA-Approved Form**

18. One such provision provides that borrowers will pay interest on unpaid principal:

BORROWER'S PROMISE TO PAY; INTEREST

In return for a loan received from Lender, Borrower promises to pay the principal sum of _____ Dollars (U.S. \$_____), plus interest, to the order of Lender. **Interest will be charged on unpaid principal**, from the date of disbursement of the loan proceeds by Lender, at the rate of _____ percent (_____%) per year **until the full amount of the loan has been paid.**

Multistate – FHA Fixed Rate Note, USFHA.NTE at 1 (emphasis added).

¹ See https://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/housing/fhahistory.

19. Thus, the borrower must pay interest only on the unpaid principal and once the principal has been paid off, the interest payments should stop as well.

20. From August 2, 1985 through January 20, 2015, the FHA allowed lenders, subject to limitations, to collect “post-payment” interest, which is interest collected by a lender even after the borrower has paid the full unpaid principal. It is also considered a “penalty” because the borrower owes the lender nothing at the time post-payment interest is collected. The lender is penalizing the borrower for paying off their loan before the maturity date.

21. There are strict limitations on when a lender can collect post-payment interest. The FHA prohibits the collection of post-payment interest unless the lender complies with HUD regulations. In turn, HUD regulations require the lender to provide the borrower with Disclosures in an FHA-approved disclosure form.

22. These limitations are reflected in a uniform provision of the promissory notes that must be included in the promissory note documenting every mortgage:

BORROWER’S RIGHT TO PREPAY

Borrower has the right to pay the debt evidenced by this Note, in whole or in part, **without charge or penalty, on the first day of any month.** Lender shall accept prepayment **on other days** provided that **Borrower pays interest** on the amount prepaid **for the remainder of the month to the extent required by Lender and permitted by regulations of the Secretary.** If Borrower makes a partial prepayment, there will be no changes in the due date or in the amount of the monthly payment unless Lender agrees in writing to those changes.

Multistate – FHA Fixed Rate Note, USFHA.NTE at 2 (emphasis added).

23. Under this provision of the promissory note, the borrower has the right to prepay the mortgage in full without charge or penalty on the first of any month. The borrower also has the right to prepay the mortgage on days other than the first of the month, but subject to the lender being able to collect post-payment interest if the lender complies with HUD regulations.

24. The relevant HUD regulation is titled "Handling Prepayments" and states that:

(b) Handling prepayments for FHA-insured mortgages closed before January 21, 2015.

(2) With respect to FHA mortgages insured on or after August 2, 1985, but closed before January 21, 2015, the mortgagee shall not require 30 days' advance notice of prepayment, even if the mortgage instrument purports to require such notice. If the **prepayment is offered on other than an installment due date,** the mortgagee may refuse to accept the prepayment until the next installment due date (the first day of the month), or **may require payment of interest to that date, but only if the mortgagee so advises the mortgagor, in a form approved by the Commissioner,** in response to the mortgagor's inquiry, request for payoff figures, or tender of prepayment.

(3) If the mortgagee fails to meet the full disclosure requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section, the mortgagee may be subject to forfeiture of that portion of the interest collected for the period beyond the date that prepayment in full was received and to such other actions as are provided in part 25 of this title.

24 C.F.R. § 203.558(b) (2014) (emphasis added).

25. Here, Chase did not have the right to collect post-payment interest because it did not provide Plaintiffs and the Class with Disclosures in the FHA-approved form.

26. The FHA-approved form is Appendix 8 (C) to the HUD Housing Handbook:

MORTGAGEE NOTICE TO MORTGAGOR
(In response to prepayment inquiry, request
for payoff or tender of prepayment in full)

Mortgagor: _____ Date: _____
Address: _____ Loan #: _____
_____ FHA #: _____

This is in reply to your ___(date)___ inquiry/request for payoff figures or offer to tender an amount to prepay in full your FHA-insured mortgage which this company is servicing.

This notice is to advise you of the procedure which will be followed to accomplish a full prepayment of your mortgage.

The _____(mortgagee name)_____ will:

(a) accept the full prepayment amount whenever it is paid and collect interest only to the date of that payment; or

(b) only accept prepayment on the first day of any month during the mortgage term; or accept the prepayment whenever tendered with interest paid to the first day of the month following the date prepayment is received[.]

NOTE: It is to your advantage to arrange closings so that the prepayment reaches us on or before (as close to the end of the month as possible) the first work day of the month.

If you have any questions regarding this notice, please contact ___(name and/or department)___ at ___(telephone number)___.

Mortgagee

Attachment (Pay off Statement)

HUD Housing Handbook, 4330.1 REV-5 Appendix 8 (C) at 1-2.

27. “The basic disclosure language is necessary because it pertains to the [borrower’s] rights under the mortgage.” HUD Housing Handbook, Administration of Insured Home Mortgages, 4330.1 REV-5, Chapter 5-1 (C), at 2.

28. Further, the lender “must disclose the procedures that must be followed with respect to the payoff and must explain how the amount of the prepayment has been determined. Otherwise, the [lender] must forfeit any interest collected after the date of prepayment.” *Id.* at 6.

29. HUD directs lenders that “[n]one of the HUD-required language should be deleted.” *Id.* at 2.

**Chase Has Collected Millions of Dollars in
Post-Payment Interest from FHA- Insured Loans**

30. From August 2, 1985 through January 20, 2015, Chase, as one of the nation’s largest holders of FHA-insured notes, has collected millions of dollars in post-payment interest.

31. The National Association of Realtors (“NAR”), estimates that “more than 40 percent of FHA borrowers close during the first 10 days of the month, exposing them to at least 20 days of interest payments after the termination of the mortgage.” Kenneth R. Harney, *Interest Costs Don’t End With Payoff of FHA Loan*, Chicago Tribune, April 11, 2004, http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2004-04-11/business/0404110057_1_fha-loan-ginnie-mae-fha-borrowers. The NAR further “estimates[s] that during 2003, . . . FHA customers who terminated their loans paid an average of \$528 in ‘excess interest fees,’ a cumulative ‘prepayment penalty’ to those borrowers of \$587 million.” *Id.*

32. “HUD doesn’t get the interest, lenders do. In effect, lenders are getting interest for money that isn’t outstanding. This may not sound like a big deal, but according to HUD, such post-payment interest charges cost borrowers \$449 million in 2012.” Peter Millar, *The Very New Deal: How FHA Mortgages Are Changing For 2015*, The Simple Dollar, Jan. 9, 2015.

33. “This practice . . . has cost consumers staggering amounts, with estimates ranging into the hundreds of millions of dollars a year during periods when mortgage rates were high.” Kenneth R. Harney, *FHA Will Stop Lenders From Charging Extra Interest When Homeowners Sell or Refinance*, Washington Post, Sept. 5, 2014, https://www.washingtonpost.com/realestate/fha-will-stop-lenders-from-charging-extra-interest-when-homeowners-sell-or-refinance/2014/09/04/478a2a04-32a6-11e4-8f02-03c644b2d7d0_story.html.

34. “Hundreds of thousands of home sellers have had their pockets picked at closings during the past decade: They’ve been charged interest on their mortgages after their principal debts had been fully paid off.” Harney, Washington Post, Sept. 5 2014.

35. “[T]he true beneficiaries of the long-standing practice were [lenders], who could earn interest on the ‘float’ – the money they collected from borrowers and had free use of until the end of the month, when they had to disburse final interest payments to bond investors.” Harney, *Controversial FHA Payoff Rule to End*, Los Angeles Times, Mar. 30, 2014, <http://articles.latimes.com/2014/mar/30/business/la-fi-harney-20140330>.

36. Today, all relevant government agencies agree that collecting post-payment interest is an unfair prepayment penalty and is against public policy.

37. On August 26, 2009, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System proposed a rule “to amend Regulation Z, which implemented the Truth in Lending Act (TILA),” which regulates prepayment penalties. 74 Fed. Reg. 43232, 43232 (Aug. 26, 2009). The Board stated that, “[o]ne such example [of a prepayment penalty] is ‘interest charges for any period after prepayment in full is made.’ When the loan is prepaid in full, there is no balance to which the creditor may apply the interest rate.” *Id.* at 43257.

38. On January 30, 2013, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) issued a final rule titled “Ability-to-Repay and Qualified Mortgage Standards under the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z).” *See* Fed. Reg. 6408, 6408 (Jan. 30, 2013). Regulation Z defines “prepayment penalty” as the “charge imposed for paying all or part of the transaction’s principal balance before the date on which the principal is due.” *Id.* at 6444.

39. This definition includes “charges resulting from FHA’s monthly interest accrual authorization method.” 79 Fed. Reg. 50835, 50835 (Aug. 26, 2014). As CFPB explains:

[I]t is appropriate to designate higher interest charges for consumers based on accrual methods that treat a loan balance as outstanding for a period of time after prepayment in full as prepayment penalties.... In such instances, **the consumer submits a payment before it is due, but the creditor nonetheless charges interest on the portion of the principal that the creditor has already received.** The Bureau believes that charging a consumer interest after the consumer has repaid the principal is the functional equivalent of a prepayment penalty.

78 Fed. Reg. 6408, 6445 (Jan. 30, 2013) (emphasis added).

40. Based on Regulation Z's definition, charges for post-payment interest are now subject to the Truth in Lending Act. *See* 79 Fed. Reg. 50835, 50835 (Aug. 26, 2014); 75 Fed. Reg. 58539, 58586 (Sept. 24, 2010) (“[T]he Board believes that the charging of interest for the remainder of the month in which prepayment in full is made should be treated as a prepayment penalty for TILA purposes, even when done pursuant to the monthly interest accrual amortization method.”).

41. In response to those changes by FRB and CFPB, on August 26, 2014, HUD published a final rule titled “Federal Housing Administration (FHA): Handling Prepayments: Eliminating Post-Payment Interest Charges” in the Federal Register to eliminate post-payment interest charges to borrowers “resulting from FHA’s monthly interest accrual amortization method.” 79 Fed. Reg. 50835, 50835.

42. Under the new regulation, for all “FHA-insured mortgages closed on or after January 21, 2015, notwithstanding the terms of the mortgage, the [lender] shall accept a prepayment at any time and in any amount.” 24 C.F.R. § 203.558(a). “Monthly interest on the debt must be calculated on the actual unpaid principal balance of the loan as of the date the prepayment is received, and not as of the next installment due date.” *Id.*

43. Thus, the

44. CFPB, FRB, and HUD have all prohibited post-payment interest charges for FHA-insured mortgages closed on or after January 21, 2015 notwithstanding any disclosure form.

**Chase Did Not Provide the FHA-Approved Form
Before Collecting Post-Payment Interest**

45. On October 15, 2008, Plaintiffs Matthew and Jonna Audino received an FHA-insured loan for the purchase of a home in Melcher-Dallas, Iowa. Plaintiffs' loan was subsequently purchased by Chase.

46. Plaintiffs' promissory note contained the uniform provisions found in every FHA-insured loan per FHA regulations. These uniform provisions include, among others:

Paragraph 2. "Borrower's Promise to Pay; Interest"

In return for a loan received from Lender, Borrower promises to pay the principal sum of one hundred five thousand four hundred twenty-eight and 00/100 dollars (U.S. \$105,428.00), plus interest, to the order of Lender. Interest will be charged on unpaid principal, from the date of disbursement of the loan proceeds by Lender, at the rate of seven and 000/1000 percent (7%) per year until the full amount of the loan has been paid.

and

Paragraph 5 titled "Borrower's Right to Prepay"

Borrower has the right to pay the debt evidenced by this Note, in whole or in part, without charge or penalty, on the first day of any month. Lender shall accept prepayment on other days provided that Borrower pays interest on the amount prepaid for the remainder of the month to the extent required by Lender and permitted by regulations of the Secretary. If Borrower makes a partial prepayment, there will be no changes in the due date or in the amount of the monthly payment unless Lender agrees in writing to those changes.

(Exhibit A).

47. On August 1, 2014, Plaintiffs requested and received via facsimile a payoff statement from Chase. (Exhibit B).

48. The payoff statement is a form document in which Chase fills in the borrower-specific contact information and loan-related amounts.

49. The payoff statement did not contain any of the Disclosures required by HUD.

50. The payoff statement did not inform Plaintiffs that they were not required to pay interest for the month of August if they paid off the mortgage on the first of the month.

51. The payoff statement did not inform Plaintiffs that they would pay post-payment interest if paying off the mortgage on any day other than the first of the month.

52. The payoff statement did not disclose the procedure that must be followed with respect to the payoff and did not explain how the amount of the prepayment had been determined.

53. Instead, the payoff statement only provided the total amount owed, and included interest for the entire month of August:

Breakdown of Amount Owed

Principal Balance:	\$98,240.36
Interest from 7/01/2014 to 09/01/2014:	\$1,146.14
Mortgage Insurance Premium:	\$133.17

Total Amount Secured by Mortgage:	\$99,519.67
Total Amount Owed Including Service Fee:	\$99,519.67

Interest Rate: 7.00000%

...

The above figures are subject to final verification upon receipt of the payoff remittance by Chase. Chase only accepts payoff funds on business days.

(*Id.*).

54. Plaintiffs' interest payments were approximately \$574 per month. The payoff statement states interest owed is for July 1, 2014 through September 1, 2014 in the amount of \$1,146.14. Thus, by representing Plaintiffs owed \$1,146.14 in interest, Chase charged and sought to collect interest for two months.

55. On August 11, 2014, Plaintiffs paid off their loan and received a Settlement Statement, which included a payoff to Chase in the amount of \$99,519.67. (Exhibit C).

56. Plaintiffs paid Chase \$99,519.67, which included the \$1,146.14 in interest Chase represented it was owed. And because Chase required Plaintiffs to pay interest for the entire month of August 2014, even though Plaintiffs paid the full unpaid principal on August 11, 2014, Chase collected post-payment interest.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

57. Plaintiffs bring this action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 on behalf of themselves and all persons similarly situated, defined as (each person to be termed a "Class Member" and, collectively, all such persons to be termed the "Class Members" or the "Class"):

Any person who had an FHA-insured loan for which (i) the loan was closed on or before January 20, 2015; (ii) Chase, as of the date the total amount due on the loan was brought to zero, was the lender, mortgagee, or otherwise held legal title to the note; (iii) Chase collected interest for any period after the total amount due on the loan was brought to zero; and (iv) Chase collected post-payment interest during the statute of limitations period applicable for the loan.

58. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend the foregoing class definition or to define subclasses before this Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

59. **Numerosity.** The Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable. On information and belief, there are at least tens of thousands of Class Members. Plaintiffs believe that Chase's records, maintained in the ordinary course, will readily reveal the exact number of Class Members.

60. **Commonality.** This action presents material questions of law and fact common to the Class, including, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether, before collecting post-payment interest on an FHA-insured loan, Chase complied with the FHA regulation requiring that it provide the borrower with Disclosures in "a form approved by the Commissioner, in response to the [borrower's] inquiry, request for payoff figures, or tender of prepayment." 24 C.F.R. § 203.558(c) (2014).
- b. Whether Chase is liable in breach of contract for not providing borrowers with the Disclosures in an FHA-approved form before charging post-payment interest on FHA-insured loans held by Chase.

61. Chase uses a form document in response to a borrower's request for a payoff statement. Thus, whether the form complies with HUD regulations is a question applicable to all Class Members.

62. **Typicality.** Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the Class's claims. Plaintiffs' promissory note contains the same relevant provisions as the rest of the Class. Further, because Chase uses form payoff statements, Plaintiffs have been subject to conduct that is typical of the Class. Chase has sought to collect post-payment interest from Plaintiffs in the same manner that it has sought to collect post-payment interest from the Class.

63. **Adequacy.** Plaintiffs and their counsel are adequate to represent the class.

64. **Predominance.** The questions of law or fact common to the Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. Chase's course of conduct will be discovered without any need for participation by individual Class Members. The Class's claims present no issues of causation or reliance unique to individual Class Members.

65. **Superiority.** A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

COUNT I: BREACH OF CONTRACT

66. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs as if set forth herein.

67. Plaintiffs and each Class Member had a contract with Chase. As to each, the terms of the contract are set forth in the promissory note. The note is a form contract containing certain provisions that are identical to provisions found in the notes for every FHA-insured loan.

68. In section 2 of the note, "BORROWER'S PROMISE TO PAY; INTEREST," Chase agreed that "[i]nterest will be charged on unpaid principal" and only "until the full amount of the principal has been paid." Multistate – FHA Fixed Rate Note, USFHA.NTE at 1.

69. In section 5 of the note, "BORROWER'S RIGHT TO PREPAY," Chase agreed that it would charge "interest on the amount prepaid for the remainder of the month" only "to the extent . . . permitted by regulations of the Secretary." *Id.* at 2.

70. The relevant FHA regulation, titled "Handling Prepayments," provides that, "If the prepayment is offered on other than an installment due date, the [lender] ... may require payment of interest to that date, but only if [the lender] so advises the [borrower], in a form approved by the Commissioner, in response to the [borrower's] inquiry, request for payoff figures, or tender of prepayment." 24 C.F.R. § 203.558(b)(2) (2014).

71. The regulation also provides that "if the [lender] fails to meet the full disclosure requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section, the [lender] may be subject to forfeiture of that portion of the interest collected for the period beyond the date that prepayment in full was received and to such other actions as are provided in part 25 of this title." 24. C.F.R. § 203.558(b)(3).

72. In addition to the express terms of the promissory note, the law implies a duty of good faith and fair dealing, and Chase is subject to this duty as well.

73. Chase breached the contract by collecting post-payment interest payments from Plaintiffs and Class Members without first providing the mandatory disclosures.

74. Chase further breached the contract by collecting post-payment interest payments from Plaintiffs and Class Members without first providing those disclosures in the FHA-approved form.

75. Plaintiffs and the other Class Members were injured by Chase's breach and seek damages for Chase's unlawful collection of post-payment interest. They seek damages for interest collected for the period beyond payment of the full unpaid principal.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class, request:

- a. Trial by jury on all issues so triable;
- b. Certification of this action as a class action, including appointing Plaintiffs as class representatives and undersigned counsel as class counsel;
- c. Judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class and against Chase in an amount that is fair and reasonable as determined by the jury trial;
- d. Forfeiture and refund of the amount of all post-payment interest collected in connection with Plaintiffs' payoff or refinance of their FHA-insured mortgage;
- e. Pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by the law;
- f. All costs incurred in connection with this action;
- g. Reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- h. Such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 12, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ward A. Rouse
Ward A. Rouse, AT 0006841
Rouse Law, PC
4940 Pleasant Street
West Des Moines, Iowa 50266
Phone: (515) 223-9000
Fax: (866) 223-9005
Email: wardrouse@rouselaw.us

Richard M. Paul III
(*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
Ashlea G. Schwarz
(*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
Sue Becker
(*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
PAUL McINNES LLP
601 Walnut Street Suite 300
Kansas City, Missouri 64106
Phone: (816)-984-8100
Fax: (816)-984-8101
Email: paul@paulmcinnes.com
Email: ashlea@paulmcinnes.com
Email: sue@paulmcinnes.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS